

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/809,655	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Agnes B. Rooke	<b>Art Unit</b> 1653	

**All Participants:**

(1) Agnes B. Rooke.

(2) R. Sparks.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 May 2006
**Time:** 11:30 AM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

no

**Claims discussed:**

10, 11, 15-18, 30-32

**Prior art documents discussed:**

no

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Examiner suggested amendments to the claims that would place the application in condition for allowance. See details in the Examiner's Amendment.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)